

2012 Non-Financial Evaluation Criteria

October 2, 2012

Criteria		Description
1	Demand Uncertainty	Ability of the supply to provide additional capacity if demands are greater than projected and accommodate demands less than forecast thru phasing and/or scaling improvements.
2	Source Reliability	Ability of the source to deliver required capacity at all times, including consideration of available water resources, existing water rights, natural variation, seismic vulnerability and possible effects of climate change.
3	Source Redundancy	Ability to meet the goal of all areas served by at least two sources of supply.
4	Implementation Risk	Risks of project implementation delays and/or cost increases due to unplanned factors such as permitting risk, schedule delays, complexity of required partnering agreements and/or project complexity.
5	Public Acceptance	Public perception of each of the sources of supply including requirements of industrial and commercial customers as well as general public.
6	Community Impacts	Impacts on the community due to large infrastructure construction projects.
7	Metzger Fluoridation	Ability to continue non-fluoridated supply to Metzger.
8	Finished Water Quality	Ability of the source to meet or exceed existing and anticipated regulatory requirements and aesthetic standards.
9	Sustainability	Anticipated sustainability of source based on energy requirements, infrastructure requirements and environmental impacts.
10	Governance	Ability of the District to establish and preserve policies for initial construction and on-going maintenance of capital assets.

2012 Non-Financial Evaluation Scoring

October 2, 2012

Score	Definition
+	The option is beneficial, relative to the other options, for the evaluated criterion
0	The option is neutral (neither beneficial nor detrimental), relative to the other options, for the evaluated criterion
-	The option is detrimental, relative to the other options, for the evaluated criterion